

EDWARD H. KUBO, JR. #2499
United States Attorney
District of Hawaii

DARREN W.K. CHING #6903
Assistant U.S. Attorney
PJKK Federal Bldg., Room 6-100
300 Ala Moana Boulevard
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850
Telephone: (808) 541-2850
Facsimile: (808) 541-2958
E-mail: Darren.Ching@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR. NO. 04-00053 DAE
)	
Plaintiff,)	GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY
)	INSTRUCTIONS; CERTIFICATE OF
vs.)	SERVICE
)	
SILVER JOSE GALINDO,)	Trial Date: September 11, 2007
aka DANIEL S. GALINDO,)	Time: 9:00 a.m.
aka TIMOTHY MAU,)	Judge: Hon. David A. Ezra
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

The government, through the undersigned counsel for the United States, hereby request the following Standard Jury Instructions for David A. Ezra: 1, 2A, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14B, 15, 16, 17B, 18, and 19A.

Permission of the Court is requested to subsequently withdraw any of the attached instructions, to modify them, or to

offer such additional instructions as may, during the course of the trial, become appropriate. Lastly, the government has included a cleansed copy of its proposed jury instructions and e-mailed a copy of the cleansed instructions to the Court.

DATED: September 4, 2007, Honolulu, Hawaii.

EDWARD H. KUBO, JR.
United States Attorney
District of Hawaii

By /s/ Darren W.K. Ching
DARREN W.K. CHING
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 1

At the end of the trial you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 1.10 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

The defendant is charged in Count 1 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.59 (2007)(modified)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

The defendant is charged in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment with possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute in violation of Section 841(a)(1) of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed methamphetamine in a measurable or detectable amount; and

Second, the defendant possessed it with the intent to deliver it to another person.

It does not matter whether the defendant knew that the substance was methamphetamine. It is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of a prohibited drug.

To "possess with intent to distribute" means to possess with intent to deliver or transfer possession of a controlled substance to another person, with or without any financial interest in the transaction.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of the charge in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment, the government is not required to prove the amount or quantity of methamphetamine.

It need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there was a measurable or detectable amount of methamphetamine.

If you find the defendant guilty of the charge in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment, you are then to determine the net weight of methamphetamine. Your decision as to the net weight must be unanimous and must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

The term "net weight" means the weight of the methamphetamine without any packaging material.

The government does not have to prove that the defendant knew the exact quantity of methamphetamine.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 9.13 and 9.13A (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 4

The defendant is charged in Count 3 of the Third Superseding Indictment with carrying and possessing a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime in violation of Section 924(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute methamphetamine as charged in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment;

Second, the defendant knowingly carried or possessed a firearm; and

Third, the defendant carried or possessed the firearm during and in relation to the crime.

Carrying is not limited to carrying weapons directly on the person, but can include circumstances such as carrying in a vehicle. A defendant "carries" a firearm when he:

- (1) knowingly possesses it; and
- (2) holds, moves, conveys, or transports it in some manner.

A person possesses a firearm if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

A defendant takes such action "in relation to the crime" if the firearm facilitated or played a role in the crime.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.65 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The defendant is charged in Count 4 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.59 (2007)(modified)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 6

The defendant is charged in Count 8 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.59 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7

The defendant is charged in Count 9 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm;

Second, the firearm had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.59 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 8

The defendant is charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment with possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute in violation of Section 841(a)(1) of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed methamphetamine in a measurable or detectable amount; and

Second, the defendant possessed it with the intent to deliver it to another person.

It does not matter whether the defendant knew that the substance was methamphetamine. It is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of a prohibited drug.

To "possess with intent to distribute" means to possess with intent to deliver or transfer possession of a controlled substance to another person, with or without any financial interest in the transaction.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of the charge in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment, the government is not required to prove the amount or quantity of methamphetamine. It need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt

that there was a measurable or detectable amount of methamphetamine.

If you find the defendant guilty of the charge in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment, you are then to determine the net weight of methamphetamine. Your decision as to the net weight must be unanimous and must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

The term "net weight" means the weight of the methamphetamine without any packaging material.

The government does not have to prove that the defendant knew the exact quantity of methamphetamine.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 9.13 and 9.13A (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 9

The defendant is charged in Count 11 of the Third Superseding Indictment with using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime in violation of Section 924(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute methamphetamine as charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment;

Second, the defendant knowingly used or carried a firearm; and

Third, the defendant used or carried the firearm during and in relation to the crime.

A defendant has "used" a firearm if he has actively employed the firearm in relation to crime of tampering with a person as charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment. Use includes any of the following:

- (1) brandishing, displaying, or striking with, a firearm;
- (2) referring to a firearm in the defendant's possession in order to bring about a change in the circumstances of the predicate offense;

(3) the silent but obvious and forceful presence of a firearm in plain view.

Carrying is not limited to carrying weapons directly on the person, but can include circumstances such as carrying in a vehicle. A defendant "carries" a firearm when he:

- (1) knowingly possesses it; and
- (2) holds, moves, conveys, or transports it in some manner.

A person possesses a firearm if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

A defendant takes such action "in relation to the crime" if the firearm facilitated or played a role in the crime.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 8.65 (2007) (modified)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

It is not necessary that the firearms alleged to have been used, carried, or possessed by the defendant be produced by the government as an exhibit at trial. What is required is that there is evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, that the objects used, carried, or possessed by the defendant were firearms.

See United States v. Jones, 907 F.2d 456, 460 (4th Cir. 1990) (five eyewitnesses); Parker v. United States, 801 F.2d 1382, 1384 (D.C. Cir. 1986) (testimony of bank tellers who observed weapon). See also United States v. Harris, 792 F.2d 866, 867-68 (9th Cir. 1986) (testimony by bank customer "familiar with guns"); United States v. Buggs, 904 F.2d 1070, 1075-76 (7th Cir. 1990); United States v. Patino, 962 F.2d 263, 265 (2d Cir. 1992). Expert testimony is not required; lay opinion is sufficient. United States v. Jones, 907 F.2d 456 (4th Cir. 1990); United States v. Kirvan, 997 F.2d 963, 966 (1st Cir. 1993); Parker v. United States, 801 F.2d 1382, 1385 (D.C. Cir. 1986).

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

It is not necessary that the methamphetamine alleged to have been possessed by the defendant be produced by the government as an exhibit at trial. What is required is that there is evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, that the drugs possessed by the defendant was methamphetamine.

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

A person has possession of something if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 3.18 (2007)

The Ninth Circuit has approved language similar to that contained in this instruction. United States v. Cain, 130 F.3d 381, 382-84 (9th Cir. 1997); United States v. Perez, 67 F.3d 1371, 1379-80 (9th Cir. 1995), opinion withdrawn in part by 116 F.3d 840 (1997); United States v. Terry, 911 F.2d 272, 280 (9th Cir. 1990).

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13

You have heard evidence of other crimes, acts, or wrongs engaged in by the defendant. You may consider that evidence only as it bears on the defendant's motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or accident and for no other purpose.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 4.3 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

You are here only to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charges in the Third Superseding Indictment. Your determination must be made only from the evidence in the case. The defendant is not on trial for any conduct or offense not charged in the Third Superseding Indictment. You should consider evidence about the acts, statements, and intentions of others, or evidence about other acts of the defendant, only as they relate to the charges against this defendant.

Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instruction 3.10 (2007)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 15

In this case, there has been testimony from government witnesses who pled guilty after entering into an agreement with the government to testify. There is evidence that the government agreed to bring the witness' cooperation to the attention of the sentencing court. The government is permitted to enter into this kind of plea agreement. You, in turn, may accept the testimony of such a witness and convict the defendant on the basis of this testimony alone, if it convinces you of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. However, you should bear in mind that a witness who has entered into such an agreement has an interest in this case different than any ordinary witness. A witness who realizes that he may be able to obtain his own freedom, or receive a lighter sentence by giving testimony favorable to the prosecution, has a motive to testify falsely. Therefore, you must examine his testimony with caution and weigh it with great care. If, after scrutinizing his testimony, you decide to accept it, you may give it whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves. I further instruct you that you may not draw any conclusions or inferences about the guilt of the defendants on trial here from the fact that prosecution witnesses pled guilty to similar charges.

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Plea agreements, grants of immunity, and consideration for reduced sentences based upon cooperation and testimony in the trial of others are recognized by law as legitimate tools of law enforcement. The wisdom of a plea agreement and decision of prosecutors not to prosecute persons involved in criminal conduct are the exclusive decision for the prosecution and not matters for your consideration. Sentencing decisions are the exclusive responsibility of the Court once a plea agreement is entered into by the parties. Likewise, a further reduction in the sentence of cooperating witnesses is the exclusive decision of the Court based upon the government's motion for a sentence reduction upon the filing of such motion. The Court is free to exercise its independent decision as to whether to grant the motion and, if granted, the extent of the departure from either a mandatory minimum or a sentence below the United States Sentencing Guidelines. In an appropriate case, the Court can provide a sentence below the statutory mandatory minimum without a motion by the government to do so.

L. Sand, Model Federal Jury Instructions, Instruction 7-10;
United States v. Beckman, 222 F.3d 512, 520 (8th Cir. 2000)

GIVEN _____
REFUSED _____
MODIFIED _____

CLEAN SET OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

At the end of the trial you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 1 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment with possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute in violation of Section 841(a)(1) of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed methamphetamine in a measurable or detectable amount; and

Second, the defendant possessed it with the intent to deliver it to another person.

It does not matter whether the defendant knew that the substance was methamphetamine. It is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of a prohibited drug.

To "possess with intent to distribute" means to possess with intent to deliver or transfer possession of a controlled substance to another person, with or without any financial interest in the transaction.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of the charge in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment, the government is not required to prove the amount or quantity of methamphetamine.

It need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there was a measurable or detectable amount of methamphetamine.

If you find the defendant guilty of the charge in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment, you are then to determine the net weight of methamphetamine. Your decision as to the net weight must be unanimous and must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

The term "net weight" means the weight of the methamphetamine without any packaging material.

The government does not have to prove that the defendant knew the exact quantity of methamphetamine.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 3 of the Third Superseding Indictment with carrying and possessing a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime in violation of Section 924(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute methamphetamine as charged in Count 2 of the Third Superseding Indictment;

Second, the defendant knowingly carried or possessed a firearm; and

Third, the defendant carried or possessed the firearm during and in relation to the crime.

Carrying is not limited to carrying weapons directly on the person, but can include circumstances such as carrying in a vehicle. A defendant "carries" a firearm when he:

- (1) knowingly possesses it; and
- (2) holds, moves, conveys, or transports it in some manner.

A person possesses a firearm if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

A defendant takes such action "in relation to the crime" if the firearm facilitated or played a role in the crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 4 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 8 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm or ammunition (with all of you agreeing as to which firearm or ammunition the defendant possessed);

Second, the firearm or ammunition had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm or ammunition, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 9 of the Third Superseding Indictment with the possession of a firearm in violation of Section 922(g) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm;

Second, the firearm had been shipped or transported from one state to another; and

Third, at the time the defendant possessed the firearm, the defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. The defendant stipulates that on December 1, 1987, the defendant was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment with possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute in violation of Section 841(a)(1) of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant knowingly possessed methamphetamine in a measurable or detectable amount; and

Second, the defendant possessed it with the intent to deliver it to another person.

It does not matter whether the defendant knew that the substance was methamphetamine. It is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of a prohibited drug.

To "possess with intent to distribute" means to possess with intent to deliver or transfer possession of a controlled substance to another person, with or without any financial interest in the transaction.

In order for the defendant to be found guilty of the charge in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment, the government is not required to prove the amount or quantity of methamphetamine. It need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt

that there was a measurable or detectable amount of methamphetamine.

If you find the defendant guilty of the charge in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment, you are then to determine the net weight of methamphetamine. Your decision as to the net weight must be unanimous and must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

The term "net weight" means the weight of the methamphetamine without any packaging material.

The government does not have to prove that the defendant knew the exact quantity of methamphetamine.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

The defendant is charged in Count 11 of the Third Superseding Indictment with using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime in violation of Section 924(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant committed the crime of possession with the intent to distribute methamphetamine as charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment;

Second, the defendant knowingly used or carried a firearm; and

Third, the defendant used or carried the firearm during and in relation to the crime.

A defendant has "used" a firearm if he has actively employed the firearm in relation to crime of tampering with a person as charged in Count 10 of the Third Superseding Indictment. Use includes any of the following:

- (1) brandishing, displaying, or striking with, a firearm;
- (2) referring to a firearm in the defendant's possession in order to bring about a change in the circumstances of the predicate offense;

(3) the silent but obvious and forceful presence of a firearm in plain view.

Carrying is not limited to carrying weapons directly on the person, but can include circumstances such as carrying in a vehicle. A defendant "carries" a firearm when he:

- (1) knowingly possesses it; and
- (2) holds, moves, conveys, or transports it in some manner.

A person possesses a firearm if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

A defendant takes such action "in relation to the crime" if the firearm facilitated or played a role in the crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

It is not necessary that the firearms alleged to have been used, carried, or possessed by the defendant be produced by the government as an exhibit at trial. What is required is that there is evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, that the objects used, carried, or possessed by the defendant were firearms.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

It is not necessary that the methamphetamine alleged to have been possessed by the defendant be produced by the government as an exhibit at trial. What is required is that there is evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, that the drugs possessed by the defendant was methamphetamine.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

A person has possession of something if the person knows of its presence and has physical control of it, or knows of its presence and has the power and intention to control it.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

You have heard evidence of other crimes, acts, or wrongs engaged in by the defendant. You may consider that evidence only as it bears on the defendant's motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or accident and for no other purpose.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

You are here only to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charges in the Third Superseding Indictment. Your determination must be made only from the evidence in the case. The defendant is not on trial for any conduct or offense not charged in the Third Superseding Indictment. You should consider evidence about the acts, statements, and intentions of others, or evidence about other acts of the defendant, only as they relate to the charges against this defendant.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

In this case, there has been testimony from government witnesses who pled guilty after entering into an agreement with the government to testify. There is evidence that the government agreed to bring the witness' cooperation to the attention of the sentencing court. The government is permitted to enter into this kind of plea agreement. You, in turn, may accept the testimony of such a witness and convict the defendant on the basis of this testimony alone, if it convinces you of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. However, you should bear in mind that a witness who has entered into such an agreement has an interest in this case different than any ordinary witness. A witness who realizes that he may be able to obtain his own freedom, or receive a lighter sentence by giving testimony favorable to the prosecution, has a motive to testify falsely. Therefore, you must examine his testimony with caution and weigh it with great care. If, after scrutinizing his testimony, you decide to accept it, you may give it whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves. I further instruct you that you may not draw any conclusions or inferences about the guilt of the defendants on trial here from the fact that prosecution witnesses pled guilty to similar charges.

INSTRUCTION NO. _____

Plea agreements, grants of immunity, and consideration for reduced sentences based upon cooperation and testimony in the trial of others are recognized by law as legitimate tools of law enforcement. The wisdom of a plea agreement and decision of prosecutors not to prosecute persons involved in criminal conduct are the exclusive decision for the prosecution and not matters for your consideration. Sentencing decisions are the exclusive responsibility of the Court once a plea agreement is entered into by the parties. Likewise, a further reduction in the sentence of cooperating witnesses is the exclusive decision of the Court based upon the government's motion for a sentence reduction upon the filing of such motion. The Court is free to exercise its independent decision as to whether to grant the motion and, if granted, the extent of the departure from either a mandatory minimum or a sentence below the United States Sentencing Guidelines. In an appropriate case, the Court can provide a sentence below the statutory mandatory minimum without a motion by the government to do so.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date and by the methods of service noted below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following at his last known address:

Served by Facsimile:

JACK SCHWEIGERT, ESQ.
The Lawyers Building
550 Halekauwila, Room 309
Honolulu, HI 96813

Attorney for Defendant

Dated: September 4, 2007, at Honolulu, Hawaii.

/s/ Valerie Domingo
U.S. Attorney's Office
District of Hawaii